

# EXHIBIT FF

Page 1

1 MICHAEL R. REED

2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

3 DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

4 - - - - -

5 In re Bair Hugger Forced

6 Air Warming Products

7 Liability Litigation,

8 MDL No. 14-2666 (JNE/FLN)

9 - - - - -

10

11 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

12 MICHAEL R. REED

13 - - - - -

14

15

16 London, United Kingdom

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 Taken December 4th, 2016 By Rose Kay

25 Job No. 115951

Page 2

1 MICHAEL R. REED  
2  
3  
45 APPEARANCES:  
6  
78 THE EXAMINER  
Allen Dyer  
9  
1011 SERJEANTS' INN CHAMBERS  
12 85 Fleet Street  
London EC4Y 1AE, United Kingdom  
13 By: Jonathan Holl-Allen, Esq.  
For the witness  
14  
1516 - and -  
17 MDU SERVICES LIMITED  
One Canada Square  
London E14 5GS, United Kingdom  
18 By: Ediri Okonedo, Esq.  
For the witness  
19  
2021 BLACKWELL BURKE  
22 431 South Seventh Street  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415  
23 By: Corey Gordon, Esq.  
For 3M Company and Arizant Healthcare, Inc.  
24  
25

Page 3

1 MICHAEL R. REED  
2  
3  
45 APPEARANCES (CONT'D):  
6  
78 KENNEDY HODGES  
4409 Montrose Blvd.  
Houston, Texas 77006  
9 By: Gabriel Assaad, Esq.  
For Plaintiffs  
10  
1112 - and -  
13 MESHBESHER & SPENCE  
1616 Park Avenue  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55404  
14 By: Genevieve Zimmerman, Esq.  
For Plaintiffs  
15  
1617 Also Present: Gerlando Scaffidi, videographer  
18  
19

Page 4

1 MICHAEL R. REED  
2 I N D E X  
3  
45 MR. MICHAEL R. REED. .... .... .... .... 7  
6  
7EXAMINATION BY MR. GORDON: .... .... .... .... 7  
8  
9EXAMINATION BY MR. ASSAAD: .... .... .... 154  
10  
11FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. .... .... .... 219  
GORDON:  
12  
13INDEX OF EXHIBITS  
14 NUMBER DESCRIPTION  
15  
1617 [Exhibit 1] Binder of documents .... .... .... 18  
18  
19[Exhibit 2] Binder of documents .... .... .... 19  
20  
21[Exhibit 3] Binder of documents .... .... .... 50  
22  
23[Exhibit 5] Colour flow chart .... .... .... 78  
24  
25[Exhibit 6] Page 1543 of Reed 7 .... .... .... 97  
[Exhibit 4] Binder of documents .... .... .... 117  
[Exhibit 7] CV of Michael Reed .... .... .... 157  
[Exhibit 8] Document entitled "Forced-air .... 189  
warming and ultra-clean ventilation

Page 5

1 MICHAEL R. REED  
2  
3[Exhibit 9] E-mail chain, Bates numbered .... .... 192  
Reed 115  
[Exhibit 10] One page of Reed 118 .... .... .... 195  
[Exhibit 11] Article, Bates numbered Reed 84 .... 204  
through Reed 99  
6  
7[Exhibit 12] Article titled "Wound .... .... .... 205  
Complications Following Rivaroxaban  
Administration"  
8  
9[Exhibit 13] Document entitled "RIO Pilot .... 211  
Study"  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 50</p> <p>1 MICHAEL R. REED      2 conductive fabric was made in all pre-selected      3 orthopaedic theaters starting on 1st March, 2010, and      4 ending on 1st June, 2010.      5 A. Yes.      6 Q. So that the transition period would be March, April, May      7 of 2010; correct?      8 A. Yes.      9 Q. So that would be three months?      10 A. Yes, that looks right.      11 THE EXAMINER: So prior to March 1st, 2010 it was      12 Bair Hugger. And after 1st June, it was Hot Dog.      13 A. Yes.      14 THE EXAMINER: Thank you.      15 BY MR. GORDON:      16 Q. So the Bair Hugger only period was July --      17 A. July 2008.      18 Q. July 2008 to the end of February 2010?      19 A. Yes.      20 Q. And --      21 A. Yes.      22 Q. And after those three months, there was use of both      23 Hot Dog and Bair Hugger.      24 A. (Nods.)      25 Q. Is that right? You have to say "yes" or "no".</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 51</p> <p>1 MICHAEL R. REED      2 A. Oh sorry, yes.      3 Q. That is all right. And the last seven months of the      4 period you looked at, it was Hot Dog only; is that      5 right?      6 A. So is it seven months or six?      7 Q. June, July, August, September, October, November,      8 December.      9 MR. HOLL-ALLEN: Seven.      10 A. Seven. There you go.      11 BY MR. GORDON:      12 Q. So the Bair Hugger only period was 20 months; is that      13 right?      14 A. Well, it was that time, certainly. That feels right.      15 THE EXAMINER: 20 months.      16 BY MR. GORDON:      17 Q. How -- were the data that you looked at collected at      18 more than one hospital?      19 A. No.      20 Q. Which hospital were these data from?      21 A. Wansbeck Hospital.      22 Q. Do you recall how you initially gathered the data for      23 analysis?      24 A. So the data is gathered by a team of nurses,      surveillance nurses. That's their job. That's what</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 52</p> <p>1 MICHAEL R. REED      2 they do. That's all they do.      3 Q. I was being a little bit more ministerial in my      4 question. If you go to the file cabinet and pull it      5 out, is it computerized data, is it ...?      6 A. Ah, so I asked them to -- I mean, the way this works is      7 that we have a report which is produced, of which      8 there's some in here actually, which is all the various      9 operations that are done, the risk factors those      10 patients have and then the outcomes they have; which is      11 generated by the hospital systems.      12 But infection is a difficult one. You can't rely on      13 computers to sort of diagnose that, or you can't rely on      14 coding. So it's a specific -- you need a specific team.      15 So they have got that and then they have added their      16 call on whether there is an infection or not, to that.      17 Q. Let me ask you to take a look in volume 3, at pages 788      18 through 1081.      19 (Exhibit Reed 3 marked for identification.)      20 MR. ASSAAD: 7 ...?      21 BY MR. GORDON:      22 Q. 788 through 1081.      23 Does that look familiar to you?      24 A. Yes.      25 Q. Is that the form of the data on infections that you</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 53</p> <p>1 MICHAEL R. REED      2 would have pulled and provided to your co-authors?      3 A. Yes.      4 Q. Who did the actual data analysis?      5 A. For this paper, Mark Albrecht.      6 Q. So were these data, pages 788 through 1081, provided by      7 you to Mr. Albrecht?      8 MR. ASSAAD: Objection, lack of foundation.      9 THE EXAMINER: You may answer.      10 A. I expect so. I don't remember that, but I imagine      11 I did. There was nothing on here that would -- you      12 know, there is no data governance issues with this. So      13 I think, I am almost certain I would have provided it.      14 THE EXAMINER: Well, it starts on 1st October, 2007,      15 according to page 788.      16 A. Yes. I mean, he wouldn't have analyzed that; but this      17 data goes back, in fact, to 2002.      18 MR. ASSAAD: I would just like a clarification for my      19 objection. I am uncertain whether or not this witness      20 is saying that this is exactly what he gave or used,      21 or whether he says it looks like it, but he is not      22 clear. I just want a clarification.      23 THE EXAMINER: Which is it, Mr. Reed?      24 A. In all honesty, it looks like it. I don't know if it is      25 what I gave. But I don't know where he would have got</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 114</p> <p>1 MICHAEL R. REED      2 A. No. We have always done that, but I think you are      3 alluding to sensitive staph aureus.      4 Q. That was my next question. So you have always done the      5 first screening?      6 A. Yes, I can't remember when we didn't.      7 Q. But my next question -- yes. So did there come a time      8 when you -- was there a time when you had not been      9 screening for methicillin susceptible staphylococcus      10 aureus, and you started screening for that?      11 A. So that was in early 2010, I think we started screening      12 for that.      13 Q. And was it just screening, or did somebody who had --      14 did you take some action?      15 A. So we would decolonize patients to -- essentially what      16 you are trying to do is to reduce the load of this      17 particular bug in someone's nose or on their hands or      18 whatever.      19 Q. So some of the Bair Hugger only patients would have not      20 had the benefit of MSSA screening; some of them would      21 have? Either way -- did you say February 2010?      22 A. I think it was January, but ...      23 Q. Okay. So at the very end of the Bair Hugger only      24 period?      25 A. Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 115</p> <p>1 MICHAEL R. REED      2 Q. So if you were the Bair Hugger -- some of the      3 Bair Hugger patients at the very end would have had MRSA      4 screening and all of the Hot Dog only patients had the      5 benefit of MSSA screening?      6 A. That is due. But what I would say is that there is no      7 evidence that it reduces infection rates in this group;      8 certainly at this point. That may not be the case now,      9 six years down the line. But yes, it was introduced      10 with that intention.      11 Q. Did there come a point in time when you instituted      12 pre-warming of patients for hip and knee ...?      13 A. Yes.      14 Q. When was that?      15 A. It will probably be on the timeline.      16 THE EXAMINER: What does it mean?      17 A. So essentially, if you warm someone up before their      18 operation, then they are less likely to get cold during      19 their operation. If you are less likely to get cold      20 during the operation, then it reduces your complications      21 of bleeding, heart attacks and perhaps infection.      22 BY MR. GORDON:      23 Q. Well, had you seen any studies before you implemented      24 the pre-warming, to address that specific issue; does it      25 have any impact on infection?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 116</p> <p>1 MICHAEL R. REED      2 A. So it does have an impact on infection. But I think      3 what's less certain is whether it has an impact on      4 infection if you warm them in theater as well. So      5 isolated pre-warming has an impact on infection.      6 In fact, David Leaper, who you are going to meet,      7 published that in a very good large study. But my      8 recollection is that those patients weren't warmed      9 during surgery.      10 Q. Are you talking about the Melling paper from 2001?      11 A. Yes.      12 Q. Was there a study closer in time, so when you switched      13 to pre-warming that you had seen ...?      14 A. So I have certainly seen a study that shows that if you      15 pre-warm people, they are less likely to get cold, so      16 that's like a proxy. So I have certainly had that in      17 some of my presentations.      18 Q. Have you ever indicated that in your presentations, that      19 you read the New England Journal and found some article      20 about a significant reduction in infection rates by      21 adding pre-warming, and then you decided to do that as      22 part of your routine procedures?      23 MR. ASSAAD: Objection, leading.      24 A. That was David Leaper; David Leaper's study, I think.      25 I think that was in the Lancet, actually, David Leaper's</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 117</p> <p>1 MICHAEL R. REED      2 study. Is pre-warming in the New England Journal of      3 Medicine? I am not aware of that.      4 BY MR. GORDON:      5 Q. Okay. I am not going to take time going into too many      6 more ...      7 A. There is now good evidence evolving, but it is coming      8 into practice as a definite now, compulsory. This is      9 six years down the line.      10 Q. When did you start pre-warming patients?      11 A. It is probably on the timeline. Can you point that out      12 for me?      13 Q. I think it is probably the second quarter of 2010.      14 A. Okay. It is likely to be correct if it is on here.      15 THE EXAMINER: Yes, it is part of the entry in the yellow      16 box.      17 BY MR. GORDON:      18 Q. The yellow box up on the top bit.      19 A. Yes, I am not sure that the Lancet study -- and I am      20 genuinely not sure. But I think that is not based on      21 the people who are warmed during the operation as well.      22 I think in David's study, they were only pre-warmed.      23 Q. The 2001 Melling --      24 A. Yes.      25 THE EXAMINER: So in your hospital, as from June 2010 they</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 118</p> <p>1 MICHAEL R. REED      2 were both pre-warmed and warmed during the operation?      3 A. Yes, yes. And the major benefit of that would be      4 reducing bleeding, reducing anxiety, reducing pain      5 perhaps as well, reducing transfusion rates. It has      6 a lot of advantages. It does not relate specifically to      7 infection and I am not sure that warming and pre-warming      8 together reduce infection rates. Either is probably      9 fine.</p> <p>10 BY MR. GORDON:      11 Q. Now, at some point you switched to chlorhexidine as      12 a skin prep; is that right?      13 A. (Nods.)      14 Q. When was that?      15 A. In my recollection, late 2010, right at the end of      16 the -- I will save you some time. Right at the end of      17 the -- actually, I can't remember which period it was.      18 THE EXAMINER: Look at the little red box for Q4/2010.      19 A. Okay, there you go, right. At the end of 2010. So --      20 yes.      21 BY MR. GORDON:      22 Q. Did there come a point in time when you instituted      23 a root cause analysis of infections?      24 A. Yes. I think that was pretty early on, actually.      25 Q. Like the first quarter of 2009?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 119</p> <p>1 MICHAEL R. REED      2 A. Yes, or even before that, I suspect, actually.      3 THE EXAMINER: It says "underway", which is not exactly very      4 precise.      5 BY MR. GORDON:      6 Q. I just want to cut to the chase. Would you agree that      7 there were -- that there was, first of all, a serious      8 problem with infections in the knee and joint area, in      9 the late 2008/early 2009 timeframe?      10 MR. ASSAAD: Objection to form, argumentative.      11 THE EXAMINER: You may answer.      12 A. So I mean, I would definitely agree, we were trying to      13 reduce our infection rates. And it's a devastating      14 complication and we were trying to reduce them. And you      15 know, I think as we have made very, very clear publicly,      16 we have tried lots of things to reduce it.      17 BY MR. GORDON:      18 Q. And over a period of time, you implemented a whole      19 variety of infection control procedures?      20 A. Yes.      21 Q. And it wasn't just switching from Hot Dog -- or from      22 Bair Hugger to Hot Dog; right?      23 A. So in the time period that we have put in the paper,      24 I don't think there's anything significant that we      25 haven't mentioned in the paper, which is the gentamicin</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 120</p> <p>1 MICHAEL R. REED      2 and the rivaroxaban, in terms of -- in terms of      3 affecting infection rates.      4 You know, there are other things like MSSA screening      5 which was introduced.      6 But at the time of this paper and still, there is no      7 evidence to say that it reduces infection rates, staph      8 aureus infection rates in joint replacement patients.      9 Now, we are doing a piece of work now that does      10 actually, I think, show that. But that is not in the      11 literature at all, even six years down the line.      12 Q. Just looking at the timeline and the picture of you      13 standing in front of that thing, the graph that starts      14 out very high and goes down very quickly. Was that      15 reflective of what was happening to the SSI rates?      16 A. So I mean, this chart is the SSI rates, but it is not --      17 you need to understand, it is not the Wansbeck primary      18 joint replacement infection rates. This is --      19 Q. The whole system?      20 A. -- the conglomerate of superficial and deep revision,      21 hip fracture patients, hemiarthroplasties, DHSs, and it      22 is a large group. And the value of that is that you can      23 make a change and hopefully track the advantage of that.      24 Q. There came a point in time when you stopped using one      25 particular operating theater; correct?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 121</p> <p>1 MICHAEL R. REED      2 A. Yes.      3 Q. Why was that?      4 A. That was, I think here.      5 Q. I think it was a little later in time.      6 A. The laminar flow repaired in Wansbeck. Is that the one      7 you ...      8 Q. And that was when? That was -- it is kind of hard to      9 tell from the timeline, other than that it was --      10 A. That was quarter 3/2008. Quarter -- at the start of      11 quarter 3.      12 Q. Now, I --      13 A. To June 2008.      14 Q. From memory, I think it is in the orange box on the far      15 right.      16 A. Okay.      17 Q. After the --      18 THE EXAMINER: That is Q4 of 2013, theater 2, WGH, closed to      19 all TKH joint replacements.      20 A. Yes, so there was a brief period. That is not actually      21 my theater, but there was a brief period that it was      22 closed.      23 BY MR. GORDON:      24 Q. Okay. It was not a permanent closure? I don't want to      25 talk about that, then.</p>